THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) Imports of individual companies are not monitored by the Department.

(c) and (d) Yes, Sir, information is required to be given in respect of certain types of imports, However, response from the Industry in this regard has been lukewarm.

(e) On receipt of the complaints, the matter was rererred to the Directorate of Revenue Intelligence for appropriate necessary action.

Recovery of amount by collectors

650. KUMARI SAYEEDA KHATUN:
CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 404 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th July, 1991 and state:

(a) what are the names of companies amounts involved, date of reference have so far been made to the collector for recovery after the inception of DPCO 1979;

(b) whethter it is a fact that provision is available under Essential Commodities Act to recover amount due;

(c) what are the details of cases where assessment of liabilities have been made and what are the reasons for not referring those cases for recovery to collector; and

(d) since when the matter is pending any by when final decision would be taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Since the DPCO 1979 became operative from 1-4-79, the effort involved in the collection and compilation of the desired information for the last about 12 years would not be commensurate with the results achieved. It may, however, be mentioned that such a step has been taken only in a few cases since 1-4-79.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d) The company-wise details of the liabilities tentatively assessed so far are given in the Annexure (*See* Appendix CLX, Annexure No. 28] The question of recovering the amounts, alongwith interest, as arrears of land revenue, by referring the matter to the collector concerned, is under consideration.

Proper use of amount available into DPEA

651. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU:
CHOWDHURY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred question 400 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th July, 1991 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that amounts available into DPEA can only be used for payments relating to difference in relation pooled and common sale prices and expenses for adminsitering DPEA;

(b) whether it is a fact that the funds cannot be used for any other purpose,

(c) what is the legal position in this regard;

(d) whether it is a fact that payments made to Bhatti Committee from DPEA account is without any authority of Law;

(e) if so, what steps are being taken to deposit the money into DPEA account which was wrongly drawn from this account;

(f) whether Government have taken the opinion of controller of Finance or Financial Adviser in this case; and

(g) if so, when and what was the opinion?